

### Planning Team Report

Proposal Title :	Armidale Dumaresq LEP 2012 Residential to facilitate 20 add		ensity Residential to R1 General odivision.	
Proposal Summary :	This Proposal seeks to rezone part of Lot 40, DP 1215012 from R2 Low Density Residential to R1 General Residential to facilitate 20 additional lots in an approved subdivision and alter the minimum lot size from 4000m2 to 500m2 to match the adjoining land.			
PP Number :	PP_2017_AREGI_001_00	Dop File No :	17/07846	
Proposal Details	ar neideon an an ar achtean a	nmestarist tanà palimatikana	remained and the contract of the	
Date Planning Proposal Received :	01-Jun-2017	LGA covered :	Armidale Regional	
Region :	Northern	RPA :	Armidale Regional Council	
State Electorate :	NORTHERN TABLELANDS	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal	
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning			
Location Details				
Street : 11	1 Cookes Road			
Suburb :	City :	Armidale	Postcode: 2350	
Land Parcel : Pa	rt Lot 40, DP 1215012			
DoP Planning Offi	icer Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Jon Stone			
Contact Number :	0267019688			
Contact Email :	jon.stone@planning.nsw.gov.au	I and the second se		
RPA Contact Deta	ils	a that is the set	с	
Contact Name :	Kathy Martin			
Contact Number :	0267703623			
Contact Email :	Kmartin@armidale.nsw.gov.au			
DoP Project Mana	ger Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Tamara Prentice			
Contact Number :	0266416100			
Contact Email :	tamara.prentice@planning.nsw.	gov.au		
Land Release Dat	a			
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	New England North West Regional Plan 2036	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes	

MDP Number :		Date of Release :		
Area of Release (Ha) :	1.20	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Residential	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	20	
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0	
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes			
If No, comment :		g and Environment's Code of Pr ngs with lobbyists has been cor		
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο			
If Yes, comment :	The Northern Region office has not met any lobbyists in relation to this proposal, nor has the Region been advised of any meeting between other officers within the agency and lobbyists concerning this proposal.			
Supporting notes				
Internal Supporting Notes :				
External Supporting Notes :	The property at 111 Cookes Road is 42.3ha and has an approved 256 lot subdivision. The subject site adjoins the subdivision and is a 20m wide lot that has an area of approximately 1.2ha. By rezoning and altering the minimum lot size the site, an additional 20 lots may be added to the subdivision through reconfiguration of the lot design.			
equacy Assessmen	۰. <del>۲</del>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Statement of the ob				
la a atatamant af the -t-	ojectives provided? Yes			
is a statement of the OD			tention of the Planning Proposal to	
Is a statement of the or		ves adequately describes the in num lot size change for the sub		
Comment :	make a zoning and mini	num lot size change for the sub		
Comment :	make a zoning and minit Dumaresq LEP 2012. isions provided - s55(2)	num lot size change for the sub		
Comment : Explanation of prov	make a zoning and minit Dumaresq LEP 2012. isions provided - s55(2) ovisions provided? Yes	num lot size change for the sub	ject land under Armidale	
Comment : Explanation of prov Is an explanation of pro	make a zoning and minit Dumaresq LEP 2012. isions provided - s55(2) ovisions provided? Yes The explanation of provi Dumaresq LEP 2012.	num lot size change for the sub	ject land under Armidale	
Comment : Explanation of prov Is an explanation of pro Comment : Justification - s55 (2	make a zoning and minin Dumaresq LEP 2012. Fisions provided - s55(2) ovisions provided? Yes The explanation of provi Dumaresq LEP 2012. 2)(c)	num lot size change for the sub (b) sions adequately addresses the	ject land under Armidale	
Comment : Explanation of prov Is an explanation of pro Comment : Justification - s55 (2	make a zoning and minin Dumaresq LEP 2012. isions provided - s55(2) ovisions provided? Yes The explanation of provi Dumaresq LEP 2012. 2)(c) gy been agreed to by the Dire	num lot size change for the sub (b) sions adequately addresses the	ject land under Armidale intended changes to Armidale	

	a 4 Integrating Land Use and Transport	
	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.3 Flood Prone Land	
	4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection	
	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements	
	6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 6.3 Site Specific Provisions	
Is the Director Gene	eral's agreement required? Yes	
c) Consistent with Star	ndard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes	
d) Which SEPPs have	the RPA identified?	
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	An assessment of the applicable S117 Directions and SEPP's is provided within the 'Assessment' section of this planning team report.	
	Council have indicated that they believe the planning proposal is consistent with all applicable SEPP's.	
Have inconsistencies v	vith items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes	
If No, explain :	See the 'Assessment' section of this planning team report.	
Mapping Provided -	s55(2)(d)	
Is mapping provided?	Yes	
Comment :	The Planning Proposal has included maps that show the locality and the current and proposed zonings and minimum lot size standards applying to the land.	
	These maps are considered adequate for exhibition purposes.	
	Revised maps which comply with the Department's 'Standard Technical Requirements for LEP Maps' will be needed prior to the making of the LEP.	
Community consult	ation - s55(2)(e)	
Has community consul	tation been proposed? Yes	
Comment :	Council have indicated a 14 day timeframe for community consultation. As the proposal is 'low impact' and identified in an approve strategy this timeframe is considered appropriate.	
	It is understood that Council intends to consult with the NSW Rural Fire Service in accordance with the requirements of S117 Direction 4.4 Bushfire Prone Land.	
	This is also considered to be appropriate.	
Additional Director	General's requirements	
Are there any additiona	al Director General's requirements? No	
If Yes, reasons :		
Overall adequacy o	f the proposal	
Does the proposal mee	et the adequacy criteria? Yes	
If No, comment :	The Planning Proposal and accompanying documentation are considered to satisfy the adequacy criteria by:	
	1. Providing appropriate objectives and intended outcomes;	
	2. Providing a suitable explanation of the provisions proposed by the LEP to achieve the	
	outcomes; 3. Providing an adequate justification for the proposal:	
	3. Providing an adequate justification for the proposal; 4. Outlining a proposed community consultation program; and	

#### 5. Providing a project time line.

Council is seeking an authorisation to exercise its plan making delegations. As the proposal deals with only matters of local significance, it is recommended that an authorisation to exercise plan making delegations be issued to Council in regard to this matter.

The RPA has provided a project timeline which estimates that the LEP will be ready for notification in November 2017. It is recommended that a 6 month timeframe be given for the completion of this LEP Amendment.

#### Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:	
Due Date : Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	Armidale Dumaresq LEP 2012 was notified on 30 November 2012.
Assessment Criteria	a
Need for planning proposal :	This Planning Proposal seeks to rezone the subject land to enable the expansion of an existing approved subdivision on an adjoining holding.
	The subject land is a part lot, 20m wide, that adjoins the eastern boundary of an approved 250 lot subdivision at 111 Cookes Road, Armidale. The rezoning will enable the approved subdivision to be reconfigured with the potential to increase the residential lot yield by approximately 20 lots. Separate Council approvals or modification of the existing consents will be required before this can be realised
	The subject site is adjacent to land zoned R1 General Residential with a 500m2 minimum lot size (the approved 250 lot subdivision) which in turn adjoins the urban area of Armidale. The land is in an area that can be serviced for residential development by the reticulated water, sewerage system and road network via the approved subdivision to Cookes Road.
	The rezoning of this site will provide some flexibility for the existing approved subdivision and future proposals as well as providing additional housing within an area that is capable of being adequately serviced.

Consistency with strategic planning framework:       REGIONAL STRATEGIES         Strategic planning framework:       The draft New England Moth West Regional Plan's goals and specific directions, including Direction 3.3 - Deliver housing includes to suit changing neets, Direction 3.4 - Promote sustainable settlement growth with great places to live and Direction 4.1 - Grow the regional cluss of Tamworth and Armidale.         The New England Morth West Strategic Regional Land Use Plan (SRLUP) applies to the Armidale Regional LGA. The subject land has not been mapped as Strategic Agricultural Land under the SRLUP and council does not anticipate that the proposed zoning and to szes adjustments with read to the advice land the armonodic with any surrounding land uses. It is considered that the Planning Proposal is consistent with the Actions of the SRLUP.         LOCAL STRATEGIES       The New England Development Strategy (NEDS) was prepared for Armidale Dumarosn, Guyra Shive, Drais Shire and Walcha Councils. The NEDS outlines key lind use policies and principles for the four council areas and provides the planning context for the preparation of LEP provisions. The NEDS identifies utima release areas, including the subject site, to cator for future population growth and demand for residential housing. It is consider that the planning Proposal is consistent with the NEDS as the site is identified within an urban release area for Armidale.         SEPPs AND S117 DIRECTIONS       The planning proposal is considered to be consistent with all applicable section 117 Directions except for A.3 Flood Prone Land and 4.4 Planning for Bushtfre protection.         A.1 Flood Prone Land       This Direction applies as a mall part of the Site (Am wide strip along the southern boundary) is below the Flood Planning Layrob. The Pla		
Armidate Regional LGA. The subject and has not been mapped as Strategic Argicultural Land under the SLIP and council does not anticipate that the proposed zoning and lot size adjustments will result in any potential land use conflict with any surrounding land uses. It is considered that the Planning Proposal is consistent with the Actions of the SRLUP.         LOCAL STRATEGIES       The New England Development Strategy (NEDS) was prepared for Armidale Dumaresq, Guyra Shire, Uralls Shire and Walcha Councils. The NEDS outfines key land use policies and principles for the four council areas and provides the planning context for the preparation of LEP Provisions. The NEDS identifies urban release areas, including the subject site, to cater for future population growth and demand for residential housing. It is consider that the planning Proposal is consistent with the NEDS as the site is identified within an urban release area for Armidale.         SEPPs AND 5117 DIRECTIONS       The planning proposal is considered to be consistent with all relevant State Environmental Planning Policies.         The planning proposal is considered to be consistent with all applicable section 117 Directions except for 4.3 Flood Prone Land and 4.4 Planning for Bushfire protection.         4.3 Flood Prone Land       This Direction applies as small part of the Site (2m wide strip along the southern boundary) is below the Flood Planning Level. The Planning Proposal will permit an increase in the development of the Site and is therefore inconsistent with clause (6)(c) of the s117 direction.         The inconsistency is justified as it is considered to be of minor significance due to area of land involved (40m2) and boccuus there will be sufficient tand available above the FPL to enable a future residential subdivision to have flood free access and develo	strategic planning	The draft New England North West Regional Plan 2036 applies to the Armidale Regional LGA. The planning proposal is consistent with draft Plan's goals and specific directions, including Direction 3.3 - Deliver housing choice to suit changing needs, Direction 3.4 - Promote sustainable settlement growth with great places to live and Direction 4.1 - Grow
The New England Development Strategy (NEDS) was prepared for Amidale Dumarseq,         Guyra Shire, Uralla Shire and Walcha Councils. The NEDS outlines key land use policies and principles for the four council areas and provides the planning context for the preparation of LEP provisions. The NEDS identifies urban release areas, including the subject site, to cater for future population growth and demand for residential housing. It is consider that the planning proposal is consistent with the NEDS as the site is identified within an urban release area for Armidale.         SEPPs AND S117 DIRECTIONS       The planning proposal is considered to be consistent with all relevant State Environmental Planning Policies.         The planning proposal is considered to be consistent with all applicable section 117 Directions except for 4.3 Flood Prone Land and 4.4 Planning for Bushfire protection.         4.3 Flood Prone Land       This Direction applies as small part of the Site (2m wide strip along the southern boundary) is below the Flood Planning Level. The Planning Proposal will permit an increase in the development of the Site and is therefore inconsistent with clause (6)(c) of the s117 direction. The inconsistency is justified as it is considered to be of minor significance due the area of land involved (40m2) and because there will be sufficient land available above the FPL to enable a future residential subdivision to have flood free access and developable land.         4.4 Planning for Bushfire protection       This Direction applies as the planning proposal will affect thand that is identified as being in proximity to bushfire protection         This Direction applies as the planning proposal will affect thand that is identified as being in proximity to bushfire prone. The Direction requires the RPA to		Armidale Regional LGA. The subject land has not been mapped as Strategic Agricultural Land under the SRLUP and council does not anticipate that the proposed zoning and lot size adjustments will result in any potential land use conflict with any surrounding land uses. It is considered that the Planning Proposal is consistent with the Actions of the
Environmental social       The planning proposal is considered to be consistent with all relevant State Environmental Planning Policies.         The planning proposal is considered to be consistent with all applicable section 117 Directions except for 4.3 Flood Prone Land and 4.4 Planning for Bushfire protection.         4.3 Flood Prone Land       This Direction applies as small part of the Site (2m wide strip along the southern boundary) is below the Flood Planning Level. The Planning Proposal will permit an increase in the development of the Site and is therefore inconsistent with clause (6)(c) of the s117 direction.         The inconsistency is justified as it is considered to be of minor significance due the area of land involved (40m2) and because there will be sufficient land available above the FPL to enable a future residential subdivision to have flood free access and developable land.         4.4 Planning for Bushfire protection       This Direction applies as the planning proposal will affect land that is identified as being in proximity to bushfire prone. The Direction requires the RPA to consult with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service after a Gateway Determination has been issued. Until this consultation has occurred the consistency of the proposal with the Direction remains unresolved.         Environmental social economic impacts :       The subject land is generally clear of vegetation apart from the windbreak of trees planted along the eastern boundary. This is not considered to be significant habitat and the development of the site for residential uses is not anticipated to result in any adverse impact on habitat for threatened species or ecological communities. The adjoining lands have been identified as having endangered ecological communities. The adjointing lands have been identified		The New England Development Strategy (NEDS) was prepared for Armidale Dumaresq, Guyra Shire, Uralla Shire and Walcha Councils. The NEDS outlines key land use policies and principles for the four council areas and provides the planning context for the preparation of LEP provisions. The NEDS identifies urban release areas, including the subject site, to cater for future population growth and demand for residential housing. It is consider that the planning Proposal is consistent with the NEDS as the site is identified
Directions except for 4.3 Flood Prone Land and 4.4 Planning for Bushfire protection.4.3 Flood Prone Land This Direction applies as small part of the Site (2m wide strip along the southern boundary) is below the Flood Planning Level. The Planning Proposal will permit an increase in the development of the Site and is therefore inconsistent with clause (6)(c) of the s117 direction. The inconsistency is justified as it is considered to be of minor significance due the area of land involved (40m2) and because there will be sufficient land available above the FPL to enable a future residential subdivision to have flood free access and developable land.4.4 Planning for Bushfire protection This Direction applies as the planning proposal will affect land that is identified as being in proximity to bushfire prone. The Direction requires the RPA to consult with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service after a Gateway Determination has been issued. Until this consultation has occurred the consistency of the proposal with the Direction remains unresolved.Environmental social economic impacts :The subject land is generally clear of vegetation apart from the windbreak of trees planted along the eastern boundary. This is not considered to be significant habitat and the development of the site for residential uses is not anticipated to result in any adverse impact on habitat for threatened species or ecological communities. The adjoining lands have been identified as having endangered ecological communities present but it has been determined that they are already degraded, fragmented and isolated. No EEC is identified on the subject land.The Planning Proposal proposes to rezone a strip of land approximately 2m wide at the souther end of the site which is below the Flood Planning Level ((FPL) identified in the Armidale Flood Study Review and Upd		The planning proposal is considered to be consistent with all relevant State Environmental
This Direction applies as small part of the Site (2m wide strip along the southern boundary) is below the Flood Planning Level. The Planning Proposal will permit an increase in the development of the Site and is therefore inconsistent with clause (6)(c) of the s117 direction. The inconsistency is justified as it is considered to be of minor significance due the area of land involved (40m2) and because there will be sufficient land available above the FPL to enable a future residential subdivision to have flood free access and developable land.4.4 Planning for Bushfire protection This Direction applies as the planning proposal will affect land that is identified as being in proximity to bushfire prone. The Direction requires the RPA to consult with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service after a Gateway Determination has been issued. Until this consultation has occurred the consistency of the proposal with the Direction remains unresolved.Environmental social economic impacts :The subject land is generally clear of vegetation apart from the windbreak of trees planted along the eastern boundary. This is not considered to be significant habitat and the development of the site for residential uses is not anticipated to result in any adverse impact on habitat for threatened species or ecological communities. The adjoining lands have been identified as having endangered ecological communities present but it has been determined that they are already degraded, fragmented and isolated. No EEC is identified on the subject land.The Planning Proposal proposes to rezone a strip of land approximately 2m wide at the southern end of the site which is below the Flood Planning Level (FPL) identified in the Armidale Flood Study Review and Update - Stage 3. The 2m wide section of land is considered insignificant as there would be land available above the FPL to en		
The inconsistency is justified as it is considered to be of minor significance due the area of land involved (40m2) and because there will be sufficient land available above the FPL to enable a future residential subdivision to have flood free access and developable land.4.4 Planning for Bushfire protection This Direction applies as the planning proposal will affect land that is identified as being in proximity to bushfire prone. The Direction requires the RPA to consult with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service after a Gateway Determination has been issued. Until this consultation has occurred the consistency of the proposal with the 		This Direction applies as small part of the Site (2m wide strip along the southern boundary) is below the Flood Planning Level. The Planning Proposal will permit an increase in the development of the Site and is therefore inconsistent with clause (6)(c) of the s117
This Direction applies as the planning proposal will affect land that is identified as being in proximity to bushfire prone. The Direction requires the RPA to consult with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service after a Gateway Determination has been issued. Until this consultation has occurred the consistency of the proposal with the Direction remains unresolved.Environmental social economic impacts :The subject land is generally clear of vegetation apart from the windbreak of trees planted along the eastern boundary. This is not considered to be significant habitat and the 		The inconsistency is justified as it is considered to be of minor significance due the area of land involved (40m2) and because there will be sufficient land available above the FPL to
economic impacts :along the eastern boundary. This is not considered to be significant habitat and the development of the site for residential uses is not anticipated to result in any adverse impact on habitat for threatened species or ecological communities. The adjoining lands have been identified as having endangered ecological communities present but it has 		This Direction applies as the planning proposal will affect land that is identified as being in proximity to bushfire prone. The Direction requires the RPA to consult with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service after a Gateway Determination has been issued. Until this consultation has occurred the consistency of the proposal with the
southern end of the site which is below the Flood Planning Level (FPL) identified in the Armidale Flood Study Review and Update - Stage 3. The 2m wide section of land is considered insignificant as there would be land available above the FPL to enable a future residential subdivision to have flood free access roads and residential lots.		along the eastern boundary. This is not considered to be significant habitat and the development of the site for residential uses is not anticipated to result in any adverse impact on habitat for threatened species or ecological communities. The adjoining lands have been identified as having endangered ecological communities present but it has been determined that they are already degraded, fragmented and isolated. No EEC is
Council is also proparing a Electrolain Dick Management Plan (EDMD)in assortance with		southern end of the site which is below the Flood Planning Level (FPL) identified in the Armidale Flood Study Review and Update - Stage 3. The 2m wide section of land is considered insignificant as there would be land available above the FPL to enable a
		Council is also preparing a Floodplain Risk Management Plan (FRMP)in accordance with

	<ul> <li>the Floodplain Development Manual (NSW Government, 2005). Council identifies that implementation of the FRMP is likely to include land use planning controls that may require amendments to LEP 2012 through a specific LEP prepared for flood prone land along Dumaresq Creek and its tributaries. Any changes to the planning controls applying to thispart of the Site below the FPL to be zoned R1 would be considered as part of implementing the FRMP.</li> <li>The subject site is approximately 125m south from land mapped as bushfire prone on council's Bushfire Prone Land map. Due to this proximity Council has identified that will refer the planning proposal to the NSW Rural Fire Service in accordance with section 117 direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection.</li> <li>Information supporting the development application of the 250 lot subdivision did not identify any land contamination other than a potential 'hot spot' associated with a sheep dip site. This potential 'hot spot' does not encroach upon the subject land. No further land contamination investigation is considered necessary for this site.</li> <li>It is not anticipated that the site has items of cultural heritage significance. The supporting information for the subdivision application identified no sites on the approved subdivision area to the west of the subject land.</li> </ul>			
	expected have a pos	sitive socia	n conjunction with the appro I and economic impact for Ar growth within the community	
Assessment Proces	S			
Proposal type :	Minor		Community Consultation Period :	14 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	6 months		Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) :	NSW Rural Fire Serv	vice		
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matter proceed ? Yes		Yes		
If no, provide reasons :				
Resubmission - s56(2)(	b) : <b>No</b>			
If Yes, reasons :				
Identify any additional s	tudies, if required. :			

If Other, provide reasons :

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

### Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	ls Public
2017-06-01 - Cover letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
2016-06-01 - Planning Proposal.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Council Report 24 May 2017.pdf	Determination Document	Yes
Council Minute No. 121.17.pdf	Determination Document	Yes

### Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

Preparation of the planni	ng proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions
S.117 directions:	<ul> <li>2.1 Environment Protection Zones</li> <li>2.3 Heritage Conservation</li> <li>2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas</li> <li>3.1 Residential Zones</li> <li>3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates</li> <li>3.3 Home Occupations</li> <li>3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport</li> <li>4.3 Flood Prone Land</li> <li>4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection</li> <li>6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements</li> <li>6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes</li> <li>6.3 Site Specific Provisions</li> </ul>
Additional Information :	It is recommended that:
	1. That the proposal be supported.
	2. Consultation is required with the NSW Rural Fire Service under section 56(2)(d) of the Act and/or to comply with the requirements of relevant S117 Directions. They are to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material, and given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal.
	3. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Act as follows:
	<ul> <li>(a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for a minimum of 14 days;</li> <li>(b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning and Environment 2016).</li> </ul>
	4. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).
	5. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 6 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.
	6. That the Secretary's delegate determine that the inconsistencies with s117 Directions 4.3 Flood Prone Land is justified as being of minor significance.
	7. That a written authorisation to exercise plan making delegations be issued to Armidale Regional Council.
Supporting Reasons :	This Planning Proposal is supported as it is consistent with local and regional strategic planning and will provide additional housing opportunities in Armidale.

Armidale Dumaresq LEP 2012 - Rezone land from R2 Low Density Residential to R1 General	
Residential to facilitate 20 additional lots in an approved subdivision.	

TARATice Date: 93

9 June 2017

Sin	nature:	
VIU	nature.	

Signatore.

Printed Name: